

APPLICATION NO.

10/044,771

7590

Geza C. Ziegler, Jr.

Perman & Green, LLP

Bridgeport, CT 06430

425 Post Road

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Algrawdria Virginia 22313.1450

Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 พพเจ.แรกเอ.gov			
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
D/A 1294;690_010500_US(PA	R 8318		

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 01/10/2002 Peter I. Majewicz **EXAMINER** 07/21/2004 COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2854 DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/044,771	MAJEWICZ ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dan Colilla	2854		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The apprount of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		аррози		
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	300 NO 12 DOION),		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	,,	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	3			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	「place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 7-12 and 14-22.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:		D. J. J. J. C.	nil	
		Daniel J. Colilla	4	
		Primary Examin	er	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Art Unit 2854

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant is attempting to recite how the structure was made in an apparatus claim. The method of making the structure hold no patentable weight in an apparatus claim. Additionally, new issues are raised concerning the language "a removed portion at each end that abuts another adjacent LED."